

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re BONILLA,  
Plaintiff.

Case No. 18-cv-04358-VC (PR)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH  
PREJUDICE**

Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla, a state inmate, has filed a *pro se* civil action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Bonilla has been disqualified from proceeding *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) unless he is “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, No. C 11-3180 CW (PR); *Bonilla v. Dawson*, No. C 13-0951 CW (PR).

Bonilla has not named any defendants. However, the allegations in this complaint do not show that he was in imminent danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed *in forma pauperis*. Moreover, even if an IFP application were granted, his lawsuit would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994). Accordingly, the case is dismissed with prejudice.

Furthermore, this is not a case in which the undersigned judge’s impartiality might be reasonably questioned. See *United States v. Holland*, 519 F.3d 909, 912 (9th Cir. 2008) (absent legitimate reason to recuse himself or herself, judge has a duty to sit in judgment in all cases

assigned to that judge).

The Clerk shall close the case. The Clerk shall return, without filing, any further documents Bonilla submits after this case is closed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 30, 2018



---

VINCE CHHABRIA  
United States District Judge